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Abstract

This paper is concerned with the alternative endings of some Slovene verbs in third person plural. Certain Slovene verbs in this form can take the endings -jo or -do (-jejo or -jedo), whereby the two possible choices are normatively evaluated in various ways in Slovene language manuals. The paper introduces a corpus-driven approach to the problem by first extracting potential verbs with this phenomenon, after which the use of both endings is compared in the subcorpora of Janes (a corpus of Slovene user-generated content) and in the Kres corpus.
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1. Introduction

Slovene is a morphologically rich language with some alternative forms in the inflection paradigm. Related studies using a corpus approach (Može, 2013; Arhar Holdt, 2013) show that Slovene language manuals either insufficiently describe the phenomena in question, or prescriptively evaluate one variant as more prestigious than the other. This paper is concerned with Slovene verbs that can take the endings -do or -jo in third person plural. We observe their behavior in the Janes corpus of user-generated Slovene (Fišer et al., 2016) and in the Kres corpus (Logar Berginc et al., 2012), which mostly contains standard written Slovene.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: in Section 2, the alternative verb endings -jo and -do are presented together with their evaluation in different Slovene language manuals. In Section 3, we briefly present the two corpora and the data extraction process. The verb forms and their frequencies in the Janes subcorpora and in the Kres corpus are analyzed in Section 4, while Section 5 provides a genre comparison. Section 6 concludes the paper and suggests further work.

2. Problem Description and the Aim of the Paper

Certain Slovene verbs can take two different endings in third person plural: -jo or -do. In Slovene grammar (Toporišič, 2004), this characteristic is observed in five athematic verbs (jejo – jedo; grejo – gredo; bojo – bodo; vejo – vedo; dajo – dado; ‘they eat/go/will be/know/give’, respectively). According to paragraph 891 in the Slovene normative language manual Slovenski pravopis 2001, the ending -jo is frequently used instead of -do, which is especially true for “literary conversational language” (knjižni pogovorni jezik) – considered less appropriate for written texts – and derivatives (by means of prefixation) of the previously mentioned athematic verbs, e.g. prepovejo – povedo, where the derivative with the prefix pre- is written with the ending -jo, whereas the original verb is written with the ending -do. The choice between two alternative forms seems to be puzzling for the users of Slovene, as can be seen from several questions posted in specialized as well as general online forums, such as Med.Over.Net. In the replies, two main factors for the use of appropriate endings are emphasized: the medium (spoken or written) and register (literary or conversational). The ending -do is considered more common in written language and formal communication.

In this regard, an analysis of the phenomenon in computer-mediated communication or user-generated content would be interesting, as the language on the Internet is heavily influenced by spoken language (Crystal, 2006); however, a spectrum of genres are considered as CMC, differing in synchronicity, message size, privacy settings, communication norms, etc. (for a list of factors, see Herring, 2007).

The aim of this paper is to use a corpus-based approach to determine the general tendencies of using the endings -do and -jo. We expect to find a preference for the ending -jo in the Janes corpus and a preference for the ending -do in the Kres corpus. We intend to observe the usage patterns with regard to different genres in Janes, and to contrast the tendencies in the Janes corpus with those in the Kres corpus, which is a corpus of standard written language.

3. Methodology

3.1 Corpus Description

For the analysis, two corpora were queried. The Janes v0.4 corpus is a corpus of user-generated Slovene. It contains over 175 million words or 9 million documents, published between 2002 and 2016 in five different genres: tweets, forum posts, blog entries and their comments, online news and their comments, and user and page talk from the Slovene Wikipedia.

1 E.g.: http://www2.arnes.si/~lmarus/suss/arihiv/suss-arihiv-000103.html (accessed: 10 August, 2016)
The Kres corpus, which contains nearly 100 million words, is a collection of standard written Slovene with a balanced genre structure: it consists of periodicals (newspapers and magazines), fiction and non-fiction, documents from the Web, and other genres, published between 1990 and 2011.

3.2 Data Extraction
The Sketch Engine concordance (see Kilgarriff, 2014) was used for corpus scanning. Employing a CQL expression, we used the Kres corpus to first extract verbs that end in -do, after which we noted the frequency of the forms with -do and -jo\(^3\) in the five Janes subcorpora\(^4\), as well as the entire Janes and Kres corpus. Thus, we collected 17 verbs: biti (‘be’), iti (‘go’), dati (‘give’), vedeti (‘know’), izvedeti (‘find out’), zvedeti (‘inquire’), zavedeti (‘realize’), jesti (‘eat’), pojesti (‘eat up’), najjesti (‘sate’), povedati (‘tell’), izpovedati (‘confess’), dopovedati (‘get across’), napovedati (‘predict’), odpovedati (‘cancel’), and prepovedati (‘forbid’).

4. Analysis
4.1 Distributions of Variants in the Janes Subcorpora
Because Slovene’s 5 athematic verbs are a linguistically unique group, they call for a separate initial analysis. With regard to the future tense form of the verb biti, all subcorpora indicate a virtually exclusive preference (nearly 100% of concordances) for the ending -do. The opposite is true of dati, where -jo has almost completely replaced its older alternative\(^5\). In the case of vedeti, -do is preferred in all subcorpora – least prominently in the forum subcorpus, and most prominently in the blog (nearly 90% of concordances) and Wiki talk subcorpora (over 90%)\(^6\). Iti displays a relatively even distribution between the two alternatives, with the exception of the blog subcorpus, where a preference for -do is observed (roughly 80%). Jesti has revealed a general preference for the -do ending, with a relatively equal distribution in the tweet subcorpus, a slight preference for -do in the forum subcorpus, and a strong preference for -do in the comment (roughly 70%), blog (roughly 80%), and Wiki talk (roughly 85%) subcorpora. The following paragraphs summarize the specifics of each subcorpus.

In the tweet subcorpus (Figure 1\(^9\)), the -do ending is preferred (60% or more) for najjesti and povedati; -jo is preferred for izpovedati, napovedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati. All other verbs display a relatively equal distribution of both endings.

The forum subcorpus (Figure 2) reveals a considerable preference (roughly 70%) for the -do ending with the verb zvedeti. A relatively equal distribution of both endings is observed with izvedeti, zavedeti, pojesti, and povedati. All other verbs show a preference (60% or more) for -jo, especially in the case of izpovedati and dopovedati, which have only produced concordances with -jo.

In the blog subcorpus (Figure 3), there is an overall preference for -do. A relatively even distribution of both endings can be seen for poizvedeti, zavedeti, najjesti, izpovedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati. Napovedati shows a notable preference (over 60%) for -jo.

The news comment subcorpus (Figure 4) reveals a notable preference (60% or more) for -do in the cases of izvedeti and povedati, while zvedeti and poizvedeti have only provided concordances with -do. Zavedeti, pojesti, and izpovedati have shown a relatively equal distribution of the two endings, whereas the others (najjesti, napovedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati) have shown a notable preference for -jo. Dopovedati did not produce any concordances.

In Wiki talk (Figure 5), the verbs that have produced concordances show a strong preference for the -do ending, with the exception of povedati and izvedeti, which have displayed a relatively even distribution of the two ending variants.

4.2 The Janes Subcorpora in Relation to Kres
This subsection examines each of the Janes subcorpora in relation to Kres. The 5 athematic verbs will once again be described separately.

In all of the Janes subcorpora, the ratios of -jo to -do for the verb biti are virtually the same as in Kres. For iti, on the other hand, all Janes subcorpora display a much stronger preference for -jo, except for the blog subcorpus, as its ratio of -jo to -do is practically the same as in Kres. Dati almost exclusively employs the -jo ending in both Kres as well as all of the Janes subcorpora. For vedeti, the tweet, forum, and news comment subcorpora prefer -jo compared to Kres, whereas the blog and Wiki talk subcorpora show ratios similar to the ones in Kres (which shows a slightly stronger preference for -do). Finally, jesti displays a notable preference for -jo in the tweet, forum, and news comments subcorpora. There is an overlap with the Kres ratio in the Wiki talk subcorpus, and a slight preference for -jo with the ratio in the blog subcorpus.

The distributions of alternate endings for the verbs poizvedeti, zavedeti, and dopovedati in the tweet subcorpus are very similar to those in Kres. With najjesti, however, since the word form dado is used mostly as a proper noun (but has been erroneously annotated as a verb form), all concordances were analyzed manually.

There is an overlap between the word forms vedo (third person plural) and vedo (a participle in masculine singular form). The latter is a regional, non-standard variation of the participle vedel, used mainly in northeastern Slovenia. Since all derivatives of the verbs vedeti, jesti and povedati follow the same pattern, there might be a slight deviation from the actual frequency of verbs ending in -do for third person plural.

For charts, see Appendix 1.
there is a higher preference for -do in the tweets. All other verbs show a notable to strong preference for -jo in comparison with Kres. In the forum subcorpus, all remaining verbs show a higher preference for -jo, albeit in varying degrees. The verbs in the blog subcorpus display a slightly higher preference for -jo with the verb zavedeti, and a stronger preference for -jo with zvedeti, pojesti, najjesti, and napovedati. The ratios for poizvedeti, povedati, and odpovedati are similar to the ones in Kres, while the remaining verbs (izvedeti, izpovedati, dopovedati, and prepovedati), seem to prefer -do more than Kres. In the news comment subcorpus, pojesti, najjesti, povedati, napovedati, and odpovedati show a higher preference for -jo. The ending ratios for izvedeti, zavedeti, izpovedati, and prepovedati are almost the same as the ones in Kres. Interestingly, zvedeti and poizvedeti show a higher preference for -do.

In the Wiki talk subcorpus, there is a slightly higher preference for -jo with izvedeti and a notably higher preference for -jo with povedati. Pojesti, however, shows a slightly higher preference for -do, while prepovedati shows a notably higher preference for -do.

5. Genre Comparison

To be able to draw more general conclusions, this section considers only the verbs with a minimum frequency of 10 in all corpora, excluding the Wiki talk subcorpus due to its small size. The following verbs meet this criterion: biti, biti, dati, vedeti, izvedeti, zvedeti, jesti, pojesti, povedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati. Having compared all of the genres with one another, we have identified three recurring patterns. In all (sub)corpora, the verb biti is predominantly used with the -do ending, while the verb dati is practically never used with the -do ending anymore. Taking into account all the remaining verbs, the blog subcorpus and the Kres corpus generally display comparable tendencies with eight of them (ititi, vedeti, izvedeti, jesti, pojesti, povedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati), whereby the verbs in question show a similar preference for -do in some cases, and equal shares of both endings in others. The second evident pattern is the similarity of the forum, tweet, and news comment subcorpora, as they contain a similar distribution of the endings in the verbs ititi, jesti, pojesti, povedati, odpovedati, and prepovedati. In the case of two verbs (vedeti and izvedeti), the tweet and forum subcorpora show a similar tendency of an equal distribution for both endings.

6. Conclusion

The paper describes the use of endings -jo and -do in certain Slovene verbs in third person plural. The corpus analysis shows a strong preference for -do both in the Kres corpus (12 out of 17 verbs) and in the Janes corpus (10 out of 17 verbs). However, different verbs display completely different tendencies in the analyzed subcorpus, meaning that a general conclusion concerning the use of endings -jo and -do cannot be made. The verbs biti and dati proved to be the only ones with the same pattern – biti is almost exclusively realized as bodo, and dati as dajo. Regarding the genres in the Janes corpus (tweets, forum posts, blog entries, news comments and on Wikipedia discussions and user pages), a conclusion can be made that there are evident similarities between the blog subcorpus and the Kres corpus, while the tweet, forum, and news comment subcorpora display fairly comparable tendencies as well. Thus, it is important to emphasize that different genres in CMC may not always have the same linguistic characteristics and should therefore not be understood as a homogenous language variety. For a more precise description of the phenomenon, other derivatives (e.g., spovedati, zapovedati), which were not extracted in the automatic process, should also be included into discussion. The use of the endings -jo and -do should also be analyzed from a normative perspective, taking into account the language manuals and dictionaries with their descriptions of the analyzed verbs.
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For absolute and relative frequencies of verb forms, see https://www.dropbox.com/s/duc6y7oi75vn9p/Abs_rel.xlsx?dl=0.
The asterisk (*) indicates that one or both verb forms appeared only once. Empty columns indicate that the forms for a particular verb were not found in the Janes corpus. The yellow dot defines the limit between percentage for -jo (below it) and -do (above it).

**Appendix 1**

Figure 1: Percentage of -jo/-do in the tweet subcorpus (green/blue) and the Kres corpus.

Figure 2: Percentage of -jo/-do in the forum subcorpus (green/blue) and the Kres corpus (yellow).

Figure 3: Percentage of -jo/-do in the blog subcorpus (green/blue) and the Kres corpus (yellow).
Figure 4: Percentage of *jo/-do* in the news comment subcorpus (green/blue) and the Kres corpus (yellow).

Figure 5: Percentage of *jo/-do* in the Wiki talk subcorpus (green/blue) and the Kres corpus (yellow).